arXiv:1704.03534v3 [physics.acc-ph] 20 Apr 2017

SppC based energy frontier lepton-proton colliders: luminosity and physics

Ali Can Canbay[1,2], Umit Kaya[1,2,*], Bora Ketenoglu[3], Bilgehan Baris Oner[1], Saleh Sultansoy[1,4]

[1]TOBB University of Economics and Technology, Ankara, Turkey
[2]Ankara University, Department of Physics, Ankara, Turkey
[3]Ankara University, Department of Engineering Physics, Ankara, Turkey
[4]ANAS Institute of Physics, Baku, Azerbaijan

[*]Correspondence: umit.kaya@cern.ch

April 2017

Abstract. In this study, main parameters of Super proton-proton Collider (SppC) based lepton-proton colliders are estimated. For electron beam parameters, highest energy International Linear Collider (ILC) and Plasma Wake Field Accelerator-Linear Collider (PWFA-LC) options are taken into account. For muon beams, 1.5 TeV and 3 TeV center of mass energy Muon Collider parameters are used. In addition, ultimate μp collider which assumes construction of additional 50 TeV muon ring in the SppC tunnel is considered as well. It is shown that luminosity values exceeding $10^{32}\ cm^{-2}s^{-1}$ can be achieved with moderate upgrade of the SppC proton beam parameters. Physics search potential of proposed lepton-proton colliders is illustrated by considering small Björken x region as an example of SM physics and resonant production of color octet leptons as an example of BSM physics.

Keywords: SppC, lepton-proton colliders, luminosity, beam-beam effects, small Björken x, color octet leptons

1 Introduction

It is known that lepton-hadron scattering had played crucial role in our understanding of deep inside of matter. For example, electron scattering on atomic nuclei reveals structure of nucleons in Hofstadter experiment [1]. Moreover, quark parton model was originated from lepton-hadron collisions at SLAC [2]. Extending the kinematic region by two orders of magnitude both in high Q^2 and small x, HERA (the first and still unique lepton-hadron collider) with $\sqrt{s} = 0.32$ TeV has shown its superiority compared to the fixed target experiments and provided parton distribution functions (PDF) for LHC and Tevatron experiments (for review of HERA results see [3, 4]). Unfortunately, the region of sufficiently small x ($< 10^{-5}$) and high Q^2 ($\geq 10\,GeV^2$) simultaneously, where saturation of parton densities should manifest itself, has not been reached yet. Hopefully, LHeC [5] with $\sqrt{s} = 1.3$ TeV will give opportunity to touch this region.

Construction of linear e^+e^-colliders (or dedicated linac) and muon colliders (or dedicated muon ring) tangential to the future circular pp colliders, FCC or SppC, as shown in Fig. 1, will give opportunity to use highest energy proton beams in order to obtain highest center of mass energy in lepton-hadron and photon-hadron collisions. (For earlier studies on linac-ring type ep, γp, eA and γA colliders, see reviews [6, 7] and papers [8-14].)



Figure 1: Possible configuration for SppC, linear collider (LC) and muon collider (μC).

FCC is the future 100 TeV center-of-mass energy pp collider studied at CERN and supported by European Union within the Horizon 2020 Framework Programme for Research and Innovation [15]. SppC is the Chinese analog of the FCC. Main parameters of the SppC proton beam [16, 17] are presented in Table 1. The FCC based ep and μp colliders have been considered recently (see [18] and references therein).

Table 1: Main parameters of proton beams in SppC.

Beam Energy (TeV)	35.6	68.0
Circumference (km)	54.7	100.0
Peak Luminosity ($10^{34}\,cm^{-2}s^{-1}$)	11	102
Particle per Bunch (10^{10})	20	20
Norm. Transverse Emittance (μm)	4.10	3.05
β^* amplitude function at IP (m)	0.75	0.24
IP beam size (μm)	9.0	3.04
Bunches per Beam	5835	10667
Bunch Spacing (ns)	25	25
Bunch length (mm)	75.5	15.8
Beam-beam parameter, ξ_{pp}	0.006	0.008

In this paper we consider SppC based ep and μp colliders. In Section 2, main parameters of proposed colliders, namely center of mass energy and luminosity, are estimated taken into account beam-beam tune shift and disruption effects. Physics search potential of the SppC based lp colliders have been evaluated in Section 3, where small Björken-x region is considered as an example of the SM physics and resonant production of color octet leptons is considered as an example of the BSM physics. Our conclusions and recommendations are presented in Section 4.

2 Main Parameters of the SppC Based ep and μp Colliders

General expression for luminosity of SppC based lp colliders is given by (l denotes electron or muon):

$$L_{lp} = \frac{N_l N_p}{4\pi max[\sigma_{x_p}, \sigma_{x_l}] max[\sigma_{y_p}, \sigma_{y_l}]} min[f_{c_p}, f_{c_l}] \tag{1}$$

where N_l and N_p are numbers of leptons and protons per bunch, respectively; σ_{x_p} (σ_{x_l}) and σ_{y_p} (σ_{y_l}) are the horizontal and vertical proton (lepton) beam sizes at interaction point (IP); f_{c_l} and f_{c_p} are LC/μC and SppC bunch frequencies. f_c is expressed by $f_c = N_b f_{rep}$, where N_b denotes number of bunches, f_{rep} means revolution frequency for SppC/μC and pulse frequency for LC. In order to determine collision frequency of lp collider, minimum value should be chosen among lepton and hadron bunch frequencies. Some of these parameters can be rearranged in order to maximize L_{lp} but one should note that there are main limitations due to beam-beam effects that should be kept in mind. While beam-beam tune shift affects proton and muon beams, disruption has influence on electron beams.

Disruption parameter for electron beam is given by:

$$D_{x_e} = \frac{2\,N_p r_e \sigma_{z_p}}{\gamma_e \sigma_{x_p}(\sigma_{x_p} + \sigma_{y_p})} \tag{2}$$

$$D_{y_e} = \frac{2\,N_p r_e \sigma_{z_p}}{\gamma_e \sigma_{y_p}(\sigma_{y_p} + \sigma_{x_p})} \tag{3}$$

where, $r_e = 2.82 \times 10^{-15}\ m$ is classical radius for electron, γ_e is the Lorentz factor of electron beam, σ_{x_p} and σ_{y_p} are horizontal and vertical proton beam sizes at IP, respectively. σ_{z_p} is bunch length of proton beam. Beam-beam parameter for proton beam is given by:

$$\xi_{x_p} = \frac{N_l r_p \beta_p^*}{2\pi\gamma_p \sigma_{x_l}(\sigma_{x_l} + \sigma_{y_l})} \tag{4}$$

$$\xi_{y_p} = \frac{N_l r_p \beta_p^*}{2\pi\gamma_p \sigma_{y_l}(\sigma_{y_l} + \sigma_{x_l})} \tag{5}$$

where r_p is classical radius for proton, $r_p = 1.54 \times 10^{-18}\ m$, β_p^* is beta function of proton beam at IP, γ_p is the Lorentz factor of proton beam. σ_{x_l} and σ_{y_l} are horizontal and vertical sizes of lepton beam at IP, respectively.

Beam-beam parameter for muon beam is given by:

$$\xi_{x_\mu} = \frac{N_p r_\mu \beta_\mu^*}{2\pi\gamma_\mu \sigma_{x_p}(\sigma_{x_p} + \sigma_{y_p})} \tag{6}$$

$$\xi_{y_\mu} = \frac{N_p r_\mu \beta_\mu^*}{2\pi\gamma_\mu \sigma_{y_p}(\sigma_{y_p} + \sigma_{x_p})} \tag{7}$$

where $r_\mu = 1.37 \times 10^{-17}\ m$ is classical muon radius, β_μ^* is beta function of muon beam at IP, γ_μ is the Lorentz factor of muon beam. σ_{x_p} and σ_{y_p} are horizontal and vertical sizes of proton beam at IP, respectively.

2.1 ep option

Preliminary study of CepC-SppC based e-p collider with $\sqrt{s} = 4.1$ TeV and $L_{ep} = 10^{33}$ $cm^{-2}s^{-1}$ has been performed in [19]. In this subsection, we consider ILC (International Linear Collider) [20] and PWFA-LC (Plasma Wake Field Accelerator - Linear Collider) [21] as a source of electron/positron beam for SppC based energy frontier ep colliders. Main parameters of ILC and PWFA-LC electron beams are given Table 2.

Table 2: Main parameters of the ILC (second column) and PWFA-LC (third column) electron beams.

Beam Energy (GeV)	500	5000
Peak Luminosity ($10^{34}\,cm^{-2}s^{-1}$)	4.90	6.27
Particle per Bunch (10^{10})	1.74	1.00
Norm. Horiz. Emittance (μm)	10.0	10.0
Norm. Vert. Emittance (nm)	30.0	35.0
Horiz. β^* amplitude function at IP (mm)	11.0	11.0
Vert. β^* amplitude function at IP (mm)	0.23	0.099
Horiz. IP beam size (nm)	335	106
Vert. IP beam size (nm)	2.70	59.8
Bunches per Beam	2450	1
Repetition Rate (Hz)	4.00	5000
Beam Power at IP (MW)	27.2	40
Bunch Spacing (ns)	366	20x10^4
Bunch length (mm)	0.225	0.02

It is seen that bunch spacings of ILC and PWFA-LC are much greater than SppC bunch spacing. On the other hand, transverse size of proton beam is much greater than transverse sizes of electron beam. Therefore, Eq. (1) for luminosity turns into:

$$L_{ep} = \frac{N_e N_p}{4\pi\sigma_p^2} f_{c_e} \tag{8}$$

For transversely matched electron and proton beams at IP, equations for electron beam disruption and proton beam tune shift become:

$$D_e = \frac{N_p r_e \sigma_{z_p}}{\gamma_e \sigma_p^2} \tag{9}$$

$$\xi_p = \frac{N_e r_p \beta_p^*}{4\pi\gamma_p\sigma_p^2} = \frac{N_e r_p}{4\pi\epsilon_{np}} \tag{10}$$

where ϵ_{np} is normalized transverse emittance of proton beam.

Using nominal parameters of ILC, PWFA-LC and SppC, we obtain values of L_{ep}, D_e and ξ_p parameters for LC⊗SppC based ep colliders, which are given in Table 3. The values for luminosity given in parantheses represent results of beam-beam simulations by ALOHEP software [22], which is being developed for linac-ring type ep colliders.

Table 3: Main parameters of LC⊗SppC based ep colliders.

E_e, TeV	E_p, TeV	$\sqrt{s}$, TeV	L_{ep}, $cm^{-2}s^{-1}$	D_e	ξ_p, 10^{-3}
0.5	35.6	8.44	3.35 (6.64) x 10^{30}	0.537	0.5
0.5	68	11.66	2.69 (5.33) x 10^{31}	0.902	0.7
5	35.6	26.68	0.98 (1.94) x 10^{30}	0.054	0.3
5	68	36.88	0.78 (1.56) x 10^{31}	0.090	0.4

In order to increase luminosity of ep collisions LHeC-like upgrade of the SppC proton beam parameters have been used. Namely, β function of proton beam at IP is arranged to be 7.5/2.4 times lower (0.1 m instead of 0.75/0.24 m) which corresponds to LHeC [5] and THERA [23] designs. This leads to increase of luminosity and D_e by factor 7.5 and 2.4 for SppC with 35.6 TeV and 68 TeV proton beam, respectively. Results are shown in Table 4.

Table 4: Main parameters of LC⊗SppC based ep colliders with upgraded β^*.

E_e, TeV	E_p, TeV	$\sqrt{s}$, TeV	L_{ep}, $cm^{-2}s^{-1}$	D_e	ξ_p, 10^{-3}
0.5	35.6	8.44	2.51 (4.41) x 10^{31}	4.03	0.5
0.5	68	11.66	6.45 (10.8) x 10^{31}	2.16	0.7
5	35.6	26.68	7.37 (13.3) x 10^{30}	0.403	0.3
5	68	36.88	1.89 (3.75) x 10^{31}	0.216	0.4

In principle "dynamic focusing scheme" [24] which was proposed for THERA, could provide additional factor of 3-4. Therefore, luminosity values exceeding 10^{32} $cm^{-2}s^{-1}$ can be achieved for all options. Concerning ILC⊗SppC based ep colliders, a new scheme for energy recovery proposed for higher-energy LHeC (see Section 7.1.5 in [5]) may give an opportunity to increase luminosity by an additional order, resulting in L_{ep} exceeding 10^{33} $cm^{-2}s^{-1}$. Unfortunately, this scheme can not be applied at PWFA-LC⊗SppC.

2.2 μp option

Muon-proton colliders were proposed almost two decades ago: construction of additional proton ring in $\sqrt{s} = 4$ TeV muon collider tunnel was suggested in [25], construction of additional 200 GeV energy muon ring in the Tevatron tunnel was considered in [26] and ultimate μp collider with 50 TeV proton ring in $\sqrt{s} = 100$ TeV muon collider tunnel was suggested in [27]. Here, we consider construction of TeV energy muon colliders (μC) [28] tangential to the SppC. Parameters of μC are given in Table 5.

Keeping in mind that both SppC and μC have round beams, luminosity Eq. (1) turns to:

$$L_{pp} = f_{pp}\frac{N_p^2}{4\pi\sigma_p^2} \tag{11}$$

$$L_{\mu\mu} = f_{\mu\mu}\frac{N_\mu^2}{4\pi\sigma_\mu^2} \tag{12}$$

for SppC-*pp* and μC, respectively. Concerning muon-proton collisions one should use larger transverse beam sizes and smaller collision frequency values. Keeping in mind that $f_{\mu\mu}$ is smaller than f_{pp} by more than two orders, following correlation between μp and $\mu\mu$ luminosities take place:

$$L_{\mu p} = (\frac{N_p}{N_\mu})(\frac{\sigma_\mu}{max[\sigma_p,\, \sigma_\mu]})^2 L_{\mu\mu} \tag{13}$$

Using nominal parameters of $\mu\mu$ colliders given in Table 5, parameters of the SppC based μp colliders are calculated according to Eq. (13) and presented in Table 6. Concerning beam beam tune shifts, for round and matched beams Eqs. (4,5) and Eqs. (6,7) turns to:

$$\xi_p = \frac{N_\mu r_p \beta_p^*}{4\pi\gamma_p \sigma_\mu^2} = \frac{N_\mu r_p}{4\pi\epsilon_{np}} \tag{14}$$

and

$$\xi_\mu = \frac{N_p r_\mu \beta_\mu^*}{4\pi\gamma_\mu \sigma_p^2} = \frac{N_p r_\mu}{4\pi\epsilon_{n\mu}}, \tag{15}$$

respectively.

As one can see from Table 6, where nominal parameters of SppC proton beam are used, ξ_p is unacceptably high and should be decreased to 0.02 which seems acceptable for μp colliders [26]. According to Eq. (14), ξ_p can be decreased, for example, by decrement of N_μ which leads to corresponding reduction of luminosity (three times and four times for μp 35.6 TeV and 68 TeV, respectively). Alternatively, crab crossing [29] can be used for decreasing of ξ_p without change of the luminosity.

Table 5: Main parameters of the muon beams.

Beam Energy (GeV)	750	1500
Circumference (km)	2.5	4.5
Average Luminosity ($10^{34}\, cm^{-2} s^{-1}$)	1.25	4.4
Particle per Bunch (10^{12})	2	2
Norm. Trans. Emitt. (mm-rad)	0.025	0.025
β^* amplitude function at IP (cm)	$1(0.5-2)$	$0.5(0.3-3)$
IP beam size (μm)	6	3
Bunches per Beam	1	1
Repetition Rate (Hz)	15	12
Bunch Spacing (ns)	8300	15000
Bunch length (cm)	1	0.5

Table 6: Main parameters of SppC based μp colliders.

E_μ, TeV	E_p, TeV	$\sqrt{S}$, TeV	$L_{\mu p}$, $cm^{-2}s^{-1}$	ξ_μ	ξ_p
0.75	35.6	10.33	5.5 x 10^{32}	8.7 x 10^{-3}	6.0 x 10^{-2}
0.75	68	14.28	12.5 x 10^{32}	8.7 x 10^{-3}	8.0 x 10^{-2}
1.5	35.6	14.61	4.9 x 10^{32}	8.7 x 10^{-3}	6.0 x 10^{-2}
1.5	68	20.2	42.8 x 10^{32}	8.7 x 10^{-3}	8.0 x 10^{-2}

2.3 Ultimate μp option

This option can be realized if an additional muon ring is constructed in the SppC tunnel. In order to estimate CM energy and luminosity of μp collisions we use muon beam parameters from [30], where 100 TeV center of mass energy muon collider with 100 km ring circumference have been proposed. These parameters are presented in Table 7.

CM energy, luminosity and tune shifts for ultimate μp collider are given in Table 8. Again ξ_μ and ξ_p can be decreased by lowering of N_p and N_μ respectively (which lead to corresponding decrease of luminosity) or crab crossing can be used without change of the luminosity.

Table 7: Main parameters of the ultimate muon beam.

Beam Energy (TeV)	50
Circumference (km)	100
Average Luminosity ($10^{34}\,cm^{-2}s^{-1}$)	100
Particle per Bunch (10^{12})	0.80
Norm. Trans. Emitt. (mm-mrad)	8.7
β^* amplitude function at IP (mm)	2.5
IP beam size (μm)	0.21
Bunches per Beam	1
Repetition Rate (Hz)	7.9
Bunch Spacing (μs)	333
Bunch length (mm)	2.5

Table 8: Main parameters of the ultimate SppC based μp collider.

E_μ, TeV	E_p, TeV	$\sqrt{S}$, TeV	$L_{\mu p}$, $cm^{-2}s^{-1}$	ξ_μ	ξ_p
50	68	116.6	1.2 x 10^{33}	2.6 x 10^{-2}	3.5 x 10^{-2}

3 Physics

In order to evaluate physics search potential of the SppC based lp colliders we consider two phenomena, namely, small Björken x region is considered as an example of the SM physics

and resonant production of color octet electron and muon is considered as an example of the BSM physics.

3.1 Small Björken x

As mentioned above, investigation of extremely small x region ($x < 10^{-5}$) at sufficiently large Q^2 ($> 10\ GeV^2$), where saturation of parton density should manifest itself, is crucial for understanding of QCD basics. Smallest achievable x at lp colliders is given by Q^2/S. For LHeC with $\sqrt{s} = 1.3$ TeV minimal acvievable value is $x = 6$ x 10^{-6}. In Table 9, we present smallest x values for different SppC based lepton-proton colliders (E_p is chosen as 68 TeV). It is seen that proposed machines has great potential for enligthening of QCD basics.

Table 9: Attainable Björken x values at $Q^2 = 10\ GeV^2$.

E_l (TeV)	0.5	5	1.5	50
x	7 x 10^{-8}	7 x 10^{-9}	2 x 10^{-8}	7 x 10^{-10}

3.2 Color octet leptons

Color octet leptons (l_8) are predicted in preonic models with colored preons [31]. There are various phenomenological studies on l_8 at TeV energy scale colliders [32-39]. Resonant production of color octet electron (e_8) and muon (μ_8) at the FCC based lp colliders have been considered in [40] and [41] respectively. Performing similar analyses for SppC based lp colliders we obtain mass discovedynamicry limits for e_8 and μ_8 in $\Lambda = M_{l_8}$ case (where Λ is compositeness scale) which are presented in Figs 2 and 3, respectively. Discovery mass limit value for LHC and SppC are obtained by rescaling ATLAS/CMS second generation LQ results [42, 43] using the method developed by G. Salam and A. Weiler [44]. For lepton colliders, it is obvious that discovery mass limit for pair production of l_8 are approximately half of CM energies. It is seen that l_8 search potential of SppC based lp colliders overwhelmingly exceeds that of LHC and lepton colliders. Moreover lp colliders will give an opportunity to determine compositeness scale (for details see [40, 41]).

It should be noted that FCC/SppC based lp colliders has great potential for search of a lot of BSM phenomena, such as excited leptons (see [45] for μ^*), contact interactions, R-parity violating SUSY etc.



Figure 2: Discovery mass limits for color octet electron at different pp, e^+e^- and ep colliders.



Figure 3: Discovery mass limits for color octet muon at different pp, $\mu^+\mu^-$ and μp colliders.

4 Conclusion

It is shown that construction of linear e^+e^-colliders (or dedicated linac) and muon colliders (or dedicated muon ring) tangential to the SppC will give opportunity to handle lepton-proton

collisions with multi-TeV CM energies and sufficiently high luminosities. Concerning SM physics, these machines will certainly shed light on QCD basics. BSM search potential of lp colliders essentially exceeds that of corresponding lepton colliders. Also these type of colliders exceed the search potential of the SppC itself for a lot of BSM phenomena.

Acceleration of ion beams at the SppC will give opportunity to provide multi-TeV center of mass energy in eA and μA collisions. In addition, electron beam can be converted to high energy photon beam using Compton backdynamic-scattering of laser photons which will give opportunity to construct LC$\bigotimes$SppC based γp and γA colliders. Studies on these topics are ongoing.

In conclusion, systematic study of accelerator, detector and physics search potential issues of the SppC based ep, eA, γp, γA, μp and μA colliders are essential to foreseen the future of particle physics. Certainly, realization of these machines depend on the future results from the LHC as well as FCC and/or SppC.

Acknowledgments

This study is supported by TUBITAK under the grant no 114F337.

References

[1] R. Hofstadter and R. W. McAllister, "Electron Scattering from the Proton," Physical Reviev, vol. 98, no. 1, pp. 217, 1955.

[2] J. I. Friedman and H. W. Kendall, "Deep inelastic electron scattering," Annual Review of Nuclear Science, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 203-254, 1972.

[3] H. Abramowicz and C. C. Allen, "HERA collider physics," Reviews of Modern Physics, vol. 71, no. 5, pp. 1275-1409, 1999.

[4] M. Klein and R. Yoshida, "Collider physics at HERA," Progress in Particle and Nuclear Physics, vol. 61, no. 2, pp. 43-393, 2008.

[5] J. L. Abelleira Fernandez, C. Adolphsen, A. N. Akay et al., "A Large Hadron Electron Collider at CERNReport on tA beam driven plasma-wakefield linear collider from Higgs factory to multi-TeV,he Physics and Design Concepts for Machine and Detector," Journal of Physics G: Nuclear and Particle Physics, vol. 39, no. 7, Article ID 075001, 2012.

[6] S. Sultansoy, "Linac ring type colliders: Second way to TeV scale," The European Physical Journal C-Particles and Fields, vol. 33, pp. 1064-1066, 2004.

[7] A. N. Akay, H. Karadeniz and S. Sultansoy, "Review of Linac-Ring Type Collider Proposals," International Journal of Modern Physics A, vol. 25, no. 25, pp. 4589-4602, 2010.

[8] Z. Z. Aydin, A. K. Ciftci and S. Sultansoy, "Linac-ring type ep machines and γp colliders based on them," Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment, vol. 351, no. 2-3, pp. 261-265, 1994.

[9] A. K. Ciftci, S. Sultansoy, S. Turkoz and O. Yavas, "Main parameters of TeV energy γp colliders," Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment, vol. 365, no. 2-3, pp. 317-328, 1995.

[10] H. Aktas, N. Buget, A. K. Ciftci, N. Meric, S. Sultansoy and O. Yavas, "New tool for old nuclear physics: FEL γ-nucleus colliders," Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment, vol. 428, no. 2, pp. 271-275, 1999.

[11] A. K. Ciftci, S. Sultansoy and O. Yavas, "TESLA*HERA based γp and γA colliders," Nuclear

Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment, vol. 472, no. 1-2, pp. 72-78, 2001.

[12] H. Braun, R. Corsini, E. Guliyev et al., "CLIC-LHC-based FEL-nucleus collider: Feasibility and physics search potential," Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment, vol. 552, no.3, pp. 440-448, 2005.

[13] H. Aksakal, A. K. Ciftci, Z. Nergiz et al., "Conversion efficiency and luminosity for gamma-proton colliders based on LHC-CLIC or LHC-ILC QCD explorer scheme," Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment, vol. 576, no. 2-3, pp. 287-293, 2007.

[14] E. Arikan and H. Aksakal, "Positron source investigation by using CLIC drive beam for Linac-LHC based e^+p collider," Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment, vol. 683, pp. 63-70, 2012.

[15] FCC, https://fcc.web.cern.ch.

[16] F. Su, J. Go, M. Xiao and D. Wong, "Method study of parameter choice for a circular proton-proton collider," Chinese Physics C, vol. 40, no. 1, pp. 103-109, 2016.

[17] F. Su, S. Bai, T. Bian et al., "SPPC Parameter Choice and Lattice Design," 7th International Particle Accelerator Conference (IPAC'16), pp. 1400-1402, Busan, Korea, May 2016.

[18] Y.C. Acar, A. N. Akay, S. Beser et al., "FCC Based Lepton-Hadron and Photon-Hadron Colliders: Luminosity and Physics," arXiv preprint arXiv:1608.02190, 2016.

[19] Y. Zhang and Y. Peng, "A High Energy e-p/A Collider Based on CepC-SppC," 6th International Particle Accelerator Conference (IPAC'15), Richmond, USA, May 2015.

[20] C. Adolphsen, M. Barone, B. Barish et al., "The International Linear Collider Technical Design Report - Volume 3.II: Accelerator Baseline Design," https://arxiv.org/abs/1306.6328.

[21] J. P. Delahaye, E. Adli, S. J. Gessner et al., "A beam driven plasma-wakefield linear collider from Higgs factory to multi-TeV," in Proceedings of the 5th International Particle Accelerator Conference (IPAC 14), pp. 3791-3793, Dresden, Germany, June 2014.

[22] ALOHEP, http://alohep.hepforge.org.

[23] U. Katz, M. Klein, A. Levy, and S. Schlenstedt, "The THERA Book," DESY-LC-REV-2001-062 2001.

[24] R. Brinkmann and M. Dohlus, "A method to overcome the bunch length limitations on β_p^* for electron-proton colliders," DESY-M-95-11 1995.

[25] I. F. Ginzburg, "Physics at future ep, γp (linac-ring) and μp colliders," Turkish Journal of Physics, vol. 22, no. 7, pp. 607-610, 1998.

[26] V. D. Shiltsev, "An asymmetric muon proton collider: luminosity consideration," Particle Accelerator Conference Proceedings, vol. 1, pp. 420-421, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada, 1997.

[27] S. Sultansoy, "The post-HERA era: brief review of future lepton-hadron and photon-hadron colliders," arXiv preprint arXiv:9911417v2, 1999.

[28] J. P. Delahaye, C. Ankenbrandt, A. Bogacz et al., "Enabling intensity and energy frontier science with a muon accelerator facility in the U.S.," arXiv preprint arXiv:1308.0494v2, 2013.

[29] S. Verdu-Andres, S. Belomenstnykh, I. Ben-Zvi et al., "Crab cavities for colliders: past, present and future," Nuclear and Particle Physics Proceedings, vol. 273, pp. 193-197 , 2016.

[30] B. J. King, "Parameter Sets for 10 TeV and 100 TeV Muon Colliders, and their Study at the HEMC'99 Workshop," arXiv preprint arXiv:0005008, 2000.

[31] I. A. DSouza and C. S. Kalman, "PREONS: Models of Leptons, Quarks and Gauge Bosons as Composite Objects," World Scientific, Singapore, 1992.

[32] A. Celikel, M. Kantar and S. Sultansoy, "A Search for sextet quarks and leptogluons at the LHC," Physics Letters B, vol. 443, no. 1, pp. 359-364, 1998.

[33] T. Mandal and S. Mitra, "Probing color octet electrons at the LHC," Physical Review D, vol. 87, no. 9, Article ID 095008, 2013.

[34] D. Gonalves-Netto, D. Lopez-Val, K. Mawatari et al., "Looking for leptogluons," Physical Review D, vol. 87, no. 9, Article ID 094023, 2013.

[35] T. Jelinski and D. Zuridov, "Leptogluons in dilepton production at LHC," Acta Phys. Pol. B, vol. 46, no. 11, pp. 2185, 2015.

[36] T. Mandal, S. Mitra and S. Seth, "Probing compositeness with the CMS eejj&ejj data," Physics Letters B, vol. 758, pp. 219-25, 2016.

[37] M. Sahin, S. Sultansoy and S. Turkoz, "Resonant production of color octet electron at the LHeC," Phyics Letters B, vol. 689, no. 4, pp. 172-176, 2010.

[38] M. Sahin, "Resonant production of spin 3/2 color octet electron at the LHeC," Acta Phys. Pol. B, vol. 45, pp. 1811, 2014.

[39] A. N. Akay, H. Karadeniz, M. Sahin and S. Sultansoy, "Indirect search for color octet electron at next-generation linear collider," Europhysics Letters, vol. 95, no. 3, Article ID 31001, 2011.

[40] Y. C. Acar, U. Kaya, B. B. Oner, and S. Sultansoy, "Color octet electron search potential of the FCC based e-p colliders," Journal of Physics G: Nuclear and Particle Physics, vol. 44, no. 4, Article ID 045005, 2017.

[41] Y. C. Acar, U. Kaya and B. B. Oner, "Resonant Production of Color Octet Muons at the Future Circular Collider Based Muon-Proton Colliders," arxiv preprint arXiv:1703.04030v2, 2017.

[42] The ATLAS Collaboration, "Search for scalar leptoquarks in pp collisions at $\sqrt{S}$=13 TeV with the ATLAS experiment," New J. Phys., vol. 18, Article ID 093016, 2016.

[43] The CMS Collaboration, "Search for pair production of first and second generation leptoquarks in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{S}$= 8 TeV," Physical Review D, vol. 93, no. 3, Article ID 032004, 2016.

[44] G. Salam and A. Weiler, "The Collider Reach project," http://collider-reach.web.cern.ch/collider-reach.

[45] A. Caliskan, S. O. Kara, A. Ozansoy, "Excited Muon Searches at the FCC-Based Muon-Hadron Colliders," Advances in High Energy Physics, vol. 2017, Article ID 1540243, 2017.